# MEDORA SCIENTIFIC PUBLISHERS

OPEN ACCESS • SAMPLE MANUSCRIPT FORMAT

# Sample Manuscript for Authors

An illustrative reference showing a typical research-article layout

| | |
|---|---|
| **Publisher** | Medora Scientific Publishers |
| **Publishing model** | Open Access |
| **Peer-review model** | Single-blind peer review |
| **Document purpose** | Formatting example only — not a real study |

**Important:** This document is a fictional sample intended to demonstrate manuscript structure and formatting. The sample title, authors, affiliations, data, results, and references are illustrative placeholders and must not be presented as actual research. Follow the specific journal's instructions where they differ.

## Suggested manuscript order

1. Title page and author details
2. Abstract and keywords
3. Abbreviations (if applicable)
4. Introduction
5. Materials and Methods
6. Results
7. Discussion
8. Conclusion
9. Acknowledgments, funding, conflicts of interest, data availability, author contributions
10. References, tables, and figure captions

## General formatting example

| Item | Example requirement |
|---|---|
| File type | Microsoft Word (.doc or .docx) |
| Font and size | Times New Roman, 12-point |
| Spacing and margins | Double-spaced; 1 inch (2.54 cm) margins |
| Pagination | Consecutive page numbering |
| Language and units | Clear English; SI units where applicable |

# Illustrative Title: A Sample Framework for Evaluating Digital Tools in Research Workflows

**Author One**[1], **Author Two**[2], and **Author Three**[1,*]

[1]Department of Example Sciences, Example University, City, Country
[2]Institute for Illustrative Research, City, Country
***Corresponding author:** Author Three, author@example.org

**Note:** All author names, affiliations, and contact details above are fictional placeholders.

## Abstract

Background: Research teams often need clear, reproducible ways to evaluate digital tools used in scholarly workflows. Objective: This illustrative example demonstrates how an abstract may summarize a study's purpose, approach, findings, and implications. Methods: A hypothetical evaluation framework was organized around usability, consistency, and reporting completeness. Results: No real participants, measurements, or experiments were used in preparing this sample; therefore, no empirical findings are reported. Conclusion: Authors should present only results supported by their actual study and ensure that the abstract accurately reflects the full manuscript.

**Keywords:** research workflow; digital tools; reproducibility; reporting; scholarly communication

## Abbreviations

Define each abbreviation at first use in the main text. Include a separate list when required by the target journal. Example: SI, International System of Units.

## 1. Introduction

The introduction should establish the research context, summarize relevant literature, identify the knowledge gap, and state the study objective or hypothesis. Cite reliable and relevant sources for claims and explain how the present study addresses the identified gap. The paragraph below is a structural example rather than a scientific claim: a well-organized introduction helps readers understand why a research question matters and how the manuscript is arranged.

Example objective: To demonstrate a transparent framework for reporting the evaluation of a digital research tool. Replace this objective with the actual aims of your study.

## 2. Materials and Methods

Describe the study design, setting, materials, instruments, procedures, inclusion and exclusion criteria, and analysis methods in enough detail for readers to assess or reproduce the work. Include ethics approval and consent information when applicable. Identify software, equipment, datasets, and statistical methods where relevant. Do not report procedures that were not actually performed.

### 2.1 Study design

This sample uses a hypothetical descriptive framework solely to demonstrate section formatting. A submitted manuscript should state the actual design and provide sufficient detail to evaluate methodological quality.

## 3. Results

Present findings clearly and logically, using text, tables, and figures where appropriate. Report actual observations and analyses, including relevant estimates and uncertainty measures. Avoid interpreting results extensively in this section if the journal expects interpretation in the Discussion.

### Table 1. Example layout for presenting study outcomes

| Measure | Group A | Group B | Notes |
|---|---|---|---|
| Example measure 1 | Replace with data | Replace with data | Units / definition |
| Example measure 2 | Replace with data | Replace with data | Analysis method |

*This table is a blank illustrative template; it contains no study data.*

## 4. Discussion

Interpret the findings in relation to the research question and relevant literature. Explain possible mechanisms or implications, acknowledge limitations, and distinguish evidence from speculation. Discuss how the study compares with prior work without overstating the strength or generalizability of the findings.

## 5. Conclusion

Summarize the main conclusion supported by the actual results and explain its significance. Avoid introducing new results or claims that were not addressed in the manuscript.

## Acknowledgments

Acknowledge contributions that do not meet the criteria for authorship, where appropriate, and obtain permission when needed. If none apply, follow the target journal’s instructions.

## Funding Statement

Example: “This work received no specific external funding.” Use this wording only if it accurately describes the study; otherwise identify the funder and grant details.

## Conflict of Interest Statement

Example: “The authors declare no competing interests.” Include this only if it is accurate for every author.

## Data Availability Statement

State whether the data are available, where they can be accessed, and any applicable restrictions. Do not claim that data are publicly available unless they are.

## Author Contributions

Describe each author’s actual contributions to conceptualization, methodology, investigation, analysis, writing, review, and approval, using the journal’s preferred taxonomy if specified.

# References, Figures & Submission Checklist

## References

Use the citation style specified by the target journal. The entries below are formatting placeholders, not real references; replace them with verified sources relevant to the manuscript.

1. Author A, Author B. Placeholder title for a journal article. Example Journal. Year;Volume(Issue):Page–Page. doi:xx.xxxx/xxxxx.

2. Author C. Placeholder title for a book. Edition. City: Publisher; Year.

3. Organization Name. Placeholder report title. Publisher; Year. Available from: [insert verified URL and access date if required].

## Tables and Figures

Number tables and figures consecutively and cite each in the manuscript text. Give every table a descriptive title and every figure a clear caption. Submit image files at suitable resolution and ensure labels, legends, permissions, and any required accessibility details are addressed. Follow journal-specific rules for file formats and placement.

*Figure 1. Example caption: Replace this line with a concise description of the actual figure and explain any symbols or abbreviations used.*

## Before submission: author checklist

■ Confirm that the manuscript fits the target journal’s scope and article type.

■ Check that the title page includes all author names, affiliations, and corresponding-author details.

■ Verify the abstract length and keyword count against journal requirements.

■ Ensure all required sections and declarations are present.

■ Check that every in-text citation has a matching reference and vice versa.

■ Confirm that tables and figures are numbered, cited, titled, and permission-cleared where necessary.

■ Review spelling, grammar, SI units, and consistent terminology.

■ Confirm ethics approval, consent, funding, conflicts, data availability, and author contributions as applicable.

■ Remove placeholder text and verify every factual statement before submission.

### Journal-specific requirements take precedence

This sample is a general reference based on the author instructions supplied for Medora Scientific Publishers. Individual journals may require different section headings, citation styles, reporting checklists, or submission files. Please consult the selected journal’s current instructions before submitting.